Fr 172V

Practitioner's Docket No.

M01B128

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

	•				
Filed: February 8, 2006 Exercise PURIFIER  Commissioner for Patents	oup No.: 1724 aminer:				
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450					
,	s inquiry				
	otice of Allowance may subject an application to a reduction F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG				
<ol> <li>More than <u>18</u> months have pass</li> </ol>	ed since				
図 NEW APPLICATIONS					
the filing of this application on	February 8, 2006				
	eceived from the Patent and Trademark Office				
☐ AMENDED APPLICATIONS					
the filing of a response on	<del></del> .				
No further communication has Office.	been received from the Patent and Trademark				
☐ APPEALED APPLICATION	·				
The Appeal Brief was filed	on				
(When using Express Mail, the Exp	37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* press Mail label number is mandatory; ntification is optional.)				
hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this of					
•	AILING				
deposited with the United States Postal Service in Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.				
37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 °					
• •	] as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"  Mailing Label No				
	SMISSION				
☐ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademar	k Office, (703)				
	Betylee				
	Signature				
Date: Carry 1, 2007	Betty Lee				
•	(type or print name of person certifying)				

<sup>\*</sup> Only the date of filling (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(check and complete applicable items below) ☐ An Examiner's Answer was mailed on \_\_\_ ☐ A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on \_ ALLOWED APPLICATIONS the mailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.

NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows:

## "NEW APPLICATION

"Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

"Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

"Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

## "AMENDED APPLICATIONS

"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed. will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."

Reg. No.:

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONS

Ira Lee Zebrak

(type or print name of practitioner)
Legal Services-Intellectual Property Dept.

BOC Edwards, Inc.

O Address 55 Madison Avenue, Suite 400

Morristown, NJ 07960

Customer No.: 71134

Tel. No.: ( 973 ) 285-3307

## STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

APPLIC	ATIC	ON SERIAL NO.	/	IS CURRENTLY	
	AS	SIGNED TO GROU	JP	AND AWAITS:	
		ACTION BY TH	HE EXAMINER.		
		APPLICANT'S	RESPONSE TO	THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED	)
			<del></del>		
				<del></del>	
APPEAL	NC	)		•	
		AWAITING ACTION CES	BY THE BOARD	D OF PATENT APPEALS AND II	NTERFER-
		DATE OF HEARIN	IG EXPECTED		
		DECISION EXPEC	TED		